Appendix VIII
Zoning Analysis Matrices

Average Total Risk Score: 1.27

#### Key to Risk Scores:

1 = low risk - the provision poses little risk for discrimination or limitation of fair housing choice

2 = medium risk – the provision is neither among the most permissive nor most restrictive; while it could complicate fair housing choice, its effect is not likely to be widespread

3 = high risk – the provision causes or has potential to result in systematic and widespread housing discrimination or the limitation of fair housing choice

#### **Source Documents:**

County Land Development Code ("LDC"), available at https://www.municode.com/library/fl/collier\_county/codes Updated through December 11, 2014

Code of Ordinances, Chapter 250 et seq.

Building Code, available at https://www.municode.com/library/fl/collier\_county/codes and http://codes.iccsafe.org/Florida.html#2014

State Statutes, available at http://www.leg.state.fl.us/statutes

Issue	Conclusion	Risk Score	Comments
1. Does the jurisdiction's definition of "family" have the effect of preventing unrelated individuals from sharing the same residence? Is the definition unreasonably restrictive?	No, neither the County's LDC nor Code of Ordinances expressly define "family."	1	
2. Does the definition of family discriminate against unrelated individuals with disabilities (or members of any other protected class) who reside together in a congregate or group living arrangement?	No, the LDC does not expressly define "family" but group housing for persons with disabilities is regulated through other means/sections of the code.	1	
3a. Does the zoning code treat housing for individuals with disabilities differently from other single family residential and multifamily residential uses by requiring a special or conditional use permit in certain residential districts? Is housing for	In most respects, the LDC treats "family care facilities" (supportive housing for up to 6 residents) the same as any other single-family	1	See Sec. 1.08.02; Sec. 5.05.04 (Supplemental Standards for Group Housing) "Family care facility: A residential facility designed to be

	country, mornad		
individuals with disabilities allowed in the same manner as other housing in residential districts?  3b. Is such housing mischaracterized as a "boarding or rooming house" or "hotel"?	dwelling unit for purposes of determining applicable development standards. Family care facilities are permitted by right wherever other single family housing is also. A "group care facility" (supportive housing for 7-14 residents), is more strictly regulated and requires a conditional use permit in residential zoning districts.		occupied by not more than 6 persons under care, plus supervisors as required by subsection 10A-5.019, FAC, and constituting a single dwelling unit (i.e., adult congregate living facility for: aged persons; developmentally disabled persons; physically disabled or handicapped persons; mentally ill persons; and persons recovering from alcohol and/or drug abuse). Foster care facilities also are included, but not the uses listed under group care facility (category II). This use shall be applicable to singlefamily dwelling units and mobile homes."
4. Does the zoning ordinance unreasonably restrict housing opportunities for individuals with disabilities who require onsite supportive services?	There is some inconsistency in the code because of the way it defines "group care facility." By definition this type of housing is for 7 to 14 persons under care who operate as the "functional equivalent of a family." However, a group care facility must be granted a conditional use permit and meet other development standards to site in a residential zoning district, whereas the code does not	2	See Sec. 2.03.00 et seq.; 5.05.04.

regulations on a similarly situated group of 7-14 persons living together as a natural or functionally equivalent family but not requiring supportive services. Therefore, persons residing in this type of housing are treated differently because of their disabilities and need for supportive care.  5. Do the jurisdiction's policies, regulations, and/or zoning ordinances allow persons with disabilities to make reasonable modifications or provide reasonable accommodation to specific zoning or regulatory requirements?  The County's Human Rights Code (Ch. 70 of the Code of Ordinances), expressly includes in its prohibition of discriminatory housing practices, the refusal to permit reasonable modifications or make reasonable accommodations in the provision of housing for persons with disabilities. However, the County has not adopted a clear and objective process by which persons with disabilities may request and not owing to the applicant. In contrast, a		impose the same		
of 7-14 persons living together as a natural or functionally equivalent family but not requiring supportive services. Therefore, persons residing in this type of housing are treated differently because of their disabilities and need for supportive care.  5. Do the jurisdiction's policies, regulations, and/or zoning ordinances allow persons with disabilities to make reasonable modifications or provide reasonable accommodation to specific zoning or regulatory requirements?  The County's Human Rights Code (Ch. 70 of the Code of Ordinances), expressly includes in its prohibition of discriminatory housing practices, the refusal to permit reasonable modifications or make reasonable accommodations or make reasonable accommodations or make reasonable accommodations of housing for persons with disabilities. However, the County has not adopted a clear and objective process by which persons with disabilities may request  The County has not adopted a clear and objective process by which persons with disabilities may request		o .		
together as a natural or functionally equivalent family but not requiring supportive services. Therefore, persons residing in this type of housing are treated differently because of their disabilities and need for supportive care.  5. Do the jurisdiction's policies, regulations, and/or zoning ordinances allow persons with disabilities to make reasonable modifications or provide reasonable accommodation to specific zoning or regulatory requirements?  The County's Human Rights Code (Ch. 70 of the Code of Ordinances), expressly includes in its prohibition of discriminatory housing practices, the refusal to permit reasonable modifications or make reasonable accommodations in the provision of housing for persons with disabilities. However, the County has not adopted a clear and objective process by which persons with disabilities may request  The County's Human Rights Code (Ch. 70 of the Code of Ordinances), expressly includes in its prohibition of discriminatory housing practices, the refusal to permit reasonable accommodations in the provision of housing for persons with disabilities. However, the County has not adopted a clear and objective process by which persons with disabilities may request		-		
functionally equivalent family but not requiring supportive services. Therefore, persons residing in this type of housing are treated differently because of their disabilities and need for supportive care.  5. Do the jurisdiction's policies, regulations, and/or zoning ordinances allow persons with disabilities to make reasonable modifications or provide reasonable accommodation to specific zoning or regulatory requirements?  The County's Human Rights Code (Ch. 70 of the Code of Ordinances), expressly includes in its prohibition of discriminatory housing practices, the refusal to permit reasonable modifications or make reasonable accommodations in the provision of housing for persons with disabilities. However, the County has not adopted a clear and objective process by which persons with disabilities may request applicant. In contrast, a				
family but not requiring supportive services. Therefore, persons residing in this type of housing are treated differently because of their disabilities and need for supportive care.  5. Do the jurisdiction's policies, regulations, and/or zoning ordinances allow persons with disabilities to make reasonable modifications or provide reasonable accommodation to specific zoning or regulatory requirements?  The County's Human Rights Code (Ch. 70 of the Code of Ordinances), expressly includes in its prohibition of discriminatory housing practices, the refusal to permit reasonable modifications or make reasonable accommodations in the provision of housing for persons with disabilities. However, the County has not adopted a clear and objective process by which persons with disabilities may request applicant. In contrast, a		_		
supportive services. Therefore, persons residing in this type of housing are treated differently because of their disabilities and need for supportive care.  5. Do the jurisdiction's policies, regulations, and/or zoning ordinances allow persons with disabilities to make reasonable modifications or provide reasonable accommodation to specific zoning or regulatory requirements?  The County's Human Rights Code (Ch. 70 of the Code of Ordinances), expressly includes in its prohibition of discriminatory housing practices, the refusal to permit reasonable modifications or make reasonable accommodations in the provision of housing for persons with disabilities. However, the County has not adopted a clear and objective process by which persons with disabilities may request applicant. In contrast, a				
Therefore, persons residing in this type of housing are treated differently because of their disabilities and need for supportive care.  5. Do the jurisdiction's policies, regulations, and/or zoning ordinances allow persons with disabilities to make reasonable modifications or provide reasonable accommodation to specific zoning or regulatory requirements?  The County's Human Rights Code (Ch. 70 of the Code of Ordinances), expressly includes in its prohibition of discriminatory housing practices, the refusal to permit reasonable accommodations or make reasonable accommodations or make reasonable accommodations in the provision of housing for persons with disabilities. However, the County has not adopted a clear and objective process by which persons with disabilities may request applicant. In contrast, a				
residing in this type of housing are treated differently because of their disabilities and need for supportive care.  5. Do the jurisdiction's policies, regulations, and/or zoning ordinances allow persons with disabilities to make reasonable modifications or provide reasonable accommodation to specific zoning or regulatory requirements?  The County's Human Rights Code (Ch. 70 of the Code of Ordinances), expressly includes in its prohibition of discriminatory housing practices, the refusal to permit reasonable modifications or make reasonable accommodations or make reasonable accommodations in the provision of housing for persons with disabilities. However, the County has not adopted a clear and objective process by which persons with disabilities may request applicant. In contrast, a				
housing are treated differently because of their disabilities and need for supportive care.  5. Do the jurisdiction's policies, regulations, and/or zoning ordinances allow persons with disabilities to make reasonable modifications or provide reasonable accommodation to specific zoning or regulatory requirements?  The County's Human Rights Code (Ch. 70 of the Code of Ordinances), expressly includes in its prohibition of discriminatory housing practices, the refusal to permit reasonable modifications or make reasonable accommodations in the provision of housing for persons with disabilities. However, the County has not adopted a clear and objective process by which persons with disabilities may request applicant. In contrast, a				
differently because of their disabilities and need for supportive care.  5. Do the jurisdiction's policies, regulations, and/or zoning ordinances allow persons with disabilities to make reasonable modifications or provide reasonable accommodation to specific zoning or regulatory requirements?  The County's Human Rights Code (Ch. 70 of the Code of Ordinances), expressly includes in its prohibition of discriminatory housing practices, the refusal to permit reasonable modifications or make reasonable accommodations in the provision of housing for persons with disabilities. However, the County has not adopted a clear and objective process by which persons with disabilities may request as and need for supportive care.  2				
their disabilities and need for supportive care.  5. Do the jurisdiction's policies, regulations, and/or zoning ordinances allow persons with disabilities to make reasonable modifications or provide reasonable accommodation to specific zoning or regulatory requirements?  The County's Human Rights Code (Ch. 70 of the Code of Ordinances), expressly includes in its prohibition of discriminatory housing practices, the refusal to permit reasonable modifications or make reasonable accommodations in the provision of housing for persons with disabilities. However, the County has not adopted a clear and objective process by which persons with disabilities may request  their disabilities and need for supportive care.  2				
5. Do the jurisdiction's policies, regulations, and/or zoning ordinances allow persons with disabilities to make reasonable modifications or provide reasonable accommodation to specific zoning or regulatory requirements?  The County's Human Rights Code (Ch. 70 of the Code of Ordinances), expressly includes in its prohibition of discriminatory housing practices, the refusal to permit reasonable modifications or make reasonable accommodations or make reasonable accommodations of housing for persons with disabilities. However, the County has not adopted a clear and objective process by which persons with disabilities may request a special continuation of the applicant. In contrast, a		_		
5. Do the jurisdiction's policies, regulations, and/or zoning ordinances allow persons with disabilities to make reasonable modifications or provide reasonable accommodation to specific zoning or regulatory requirements?  The County's Human Rights Code (Ch. 70 of the Code of Ordinances), expressly includes in its prohibition of discriminatory housing practices, the refusal to permit reasonable modifications or make reasonable accommodations in the provision of housing for persons with disabilities. However, the County has not adopted a clear and objective process by which persons with disabilities may request  The County's Human Rights Code (Ch. 70 of the Code of Ordinances), expressly includes in its prohibition of discriminatory housing practices, the refusal to permit reasonable accommodations or make reasonable accommodations in the provision of housing for persons with disabilities. However, the Code of Ordinances), expressly includes in its prohibition of discriminatory housing practices, the refusal to permit reasonable accommodations in the provision of housing for persons with disabilities. However, the Code of Ordinances), expressly includes in its prohibition of discriminatory housing practices, the refusal to permit, however, the purpose of a variance or CUP is not congruent with the purpose of requesting a reasonable accommodation, as a variance requires a showing of special circumstances or conditions applying to the land, building, or use that are preexisting and not owing to the applicant. In contrast, a		need for supportive		
regulations, and/or zoning ordinances allow persons with disabilities to make reasonable modifications or provide reasonable accommodation to specific zoning or regulatory requirements?  Rights Code (Ch. 70 of the Code of Ordinances), expressly includes in its prohibition of discriminatory housing practices, the refusal to permit reasonable modifications or make reasonable accommodations in the provision of housing for persons with disabilities. However, the County has not adopted a clear and objective process by which persons with disabilities may request  Rights Code (Ch. 70 of the Code provides a process for requesting a variance or conditional use permit, however, the purpose of a variance or CUP is not congruent with the purpose of requesting a reasonable accommodation, as a variance requires a showing of special circumstances or conditions applying to the land, building, or use that are preexisting and not owing to the applicant. In contrast, a		care.		
allow persons with disabilities to make reasonable modifications or provide reasonable accommodation to specific zoning or regulatory requirements?  the Code of Ordinances), expressly includes in its prohibition of discriminatory housing practices, the refusal to permit reasonable modifications or make reasonable accommodations in the provision of housing for persons with disabilities. However, the County has not adopted a clear and objective process by which persons with disabilities may request  the Code of Ordinances), expressly includes in its prohibition of discriminatory housing practices, the refusal to permit reasonable modifications or make reasonable accommodations in the provision of housing for persons with disabilities. However, the County has not adopted a clear and objective process by which persons with disabilities may request		_	2	
reasonable modifications or provide reasonable accommodation to specific zoning or regulatory requirements?  Drdinances), expressly includes in its prohibition of discriminatory housing practices, the refusal to permit reasonable modifications or make reasonable accommodations in the provision of housing for persons with disabilities. However, the County has not adopted a clear and objective process by which persons with disabilities may request  Drdinances), expressly includes in its prohibition of discriminatory housing or conditional use permit, however, the purpose of a variance or CUP is not congruent with the purpose of requesting a reasonable accommodation, as a variance requires a showing of special circumstances or conditions applying to the land, building, or use that are preexisting and not owing to the applicant. In contrast, a		· ·		
reasonable accommodation to specific zoning or regulatory requirements?  includes in its prohibition of discriminatory housing practices, the refusal to permit reasonable modifications or make reasonable accommodations in the provision of housing for persons with disabilities. However, the County has not adopted a clear and objective process by which persons with disabilities may request  includes in its prohibition of discriminatory housing practices, the refusal to permit reasonable modifications or make reasonable accommodations in the provision of housing for persons with disabilities. However, the County has not adopted a clear and objective process by which persons with disabilities may request  or conditional use permit, however, the purpose of a variance or CUP is not congruent with the purpose of requesting a reasonable accommodation, as a variance requires a showing of special circumstances or conditions applying to the land, building, or use that are preexisting and not owing to the applicant. In contrast, a	=			_
zoning or regulatory requirements?  prohibition of discriminatory housing practices, the refusal to permit reasonable modifications or make reasonable accommodations in the provision of housing for persons with disabilities. However, the County has not adopted a clear and objective process by which persons with disabilities may request  prohibition of discriminatory housing purpose of a variance or CUP is not congruent with the purpose of requesting a reasonable accommodation, as a variance requires a showing of special circumstances or conditions applying to the land, building, or use that are preexisting and not owing to the applicant. In contrast, a	=			
discriminatory housing practices, the refusal to permit reasonable modifications or make reasonable accommodations in the provision of housing for persons with disabilities. However, the County has not adopted a clear and objective process by which persons with disabilities may request provise of a variance or CUP is not congruent with the purpose of requesting a reasonable accommodation, as a variance requires a showing of special circumstances or conditions applying to the land, building, or use that are preexisting and not owing to the applicant. In contrast, a	_			
practices, the refusal to permit reasonable modifications or make reasonable accommodations in the provision of housing for persons with disabilities. However, the County has not adopted a clear and objective process by which persons with disabilities may request or CUP is not congruent with the purpose of requesting a reasonable accommodation, as a variance requires a showing of special circumstances or conditions applying to the land, building, or use that are preexisting and not owing to the applicant. In contrast, a	zoning or regulatory requirements?	_		_
permit reasonable modifications or make reasonable accommodations in the provision of housing for persons with disabilities. However, the County has not adopted a clear and objective process by which persons with disabilities may request with the purpose of requesting a reasonable accommodation, as a variance requires a showing of special circumstances or conditions applying to the land, building, or use that are preexisting and not owing to the applicant. In contrast, a				
modifications or make reasonable accommodations in the provision of housing for persons with disabilities. However, the County has not adopted a clear and objective process by which persons with disabilities may request  requesting a reasonable accommodation, as a variance requires a showing of special circumstances or conditions applying to the land, building, or use that are preexisting and not owing to the applicant. In contrast, a		_		
reasonable accommodations in the provision of housing for persons with disabilities. However, the County has not adopted a clear and objective process by which persons with disabilities may request  reasonable accommodation, as a variance requires a showing of special circumstances or conditions applying to the land, building, or use that are preexisting and not owing to the applicant. In contrast, a		-		• •
accommodations in the provision of housing for persons with disabilities. However, the County has not adopted a clear and objective process by which persons with disabilities may request accommodation, as a variance requires a showing of special circumstances or conditions applying to the land, building, or use that are preexisting and not owing to the applicant. In contrast, a				
provision of housing for persons with disabilities. However, the County has not adopted a clear and objective process by which persons with disabilities may request variance requires a showing of special circumstances or conditions applying to the land, building, or use that are preexisting and not owing to the applicant. In contrast, a				
persons with disabilities. However, the County has not adopted a clear and objective process by which persons with disabilities may request  showing of special circumstances or conditions applying to the land, building, or use that are preexisting and not owing to the applicant. In contrast, a				
disabilities. However, the County has not adopted a clear and objective process by which persons with disabilities may request  disabilities. However, the County has not conditions applying to the land, building, or use that are preexisting and not owing to the applicant. In contrast, a				_
adopted a clear and objective process by which persons with disabilities may request the land, building, or use that are preexisting and not owing to the applicant. In contrast, a				circumstances or
objective process by which persons with disabilities may request  use that are preexisting and not owing to the applicant. In contrast, a		the County has not		conditions applying to
which persons with and not owing to the disabilities may request applicant. In contrast, a		adopted a clear and		the land, building, or
disabilities may request applicant. In contrast, a				
		_		S
		a reasonable		reasonable
accommodation to accommodation is to				
zoning, land use, and allow individuals with		_		
other regulatory disabilities to have requirements. equal access to use and				
equal access to use and enjoy housing. The		requirements.		•
jurisdiction does not				
comply with its duty to				*
provide reasonable				
				accommodation if it

	,,,		,
			applies a standard based on the physical characteristics of the property rather than considering the need for modification based on the disabilities of the residents of the housing. It is recommended that the County adopt a "Reasonable Accommodation Ordinance."
6a. Does the jurisdiction require a public hearing to obtain public input for specific exceptions to zoning and land-use rules for applicants with disabilities?	All proposals for group housing for persons with disabilities, except family care facilities, require a Site Development Plan and Conditional Use Permit approval following the public hearing process before the Planning Commission and BCC or BZA.	2	See Sec. 5.05.04; 10.03.06; 10.08.00. The process for requesting a reasonable accommodation is unclear in the zoning ordinance, and therefore the requesting party would be instructed to follow the variance or conditional use permit
6b. Is the hearing only for applicants with disabilities rather than for all applicants?	No, anyone requesting a variance or conditional use would have to follow the same procedures.		process. Anytime a public hearing—with public input potentially based on generalized perceptions about disabilities and unfounded speculations about the impact on neighborhoods or threats to safety—is required before a request may be granted, there is potential for an outcome with discriminatory treatment or impact.

7. Does the ordinance impose spacing or dispersion requirements on certain protected housing types?	Yes, a family care facility cannot be located within a radius of 1,000 feet of another existing family care facility. Group care facilities (category I and II) and homeless shelters cannot be located within 1,200 feet radius of an existing facility in RMF-6, RMF-12, RMF-16, RT, and VR zoning districts or within a 500 feet radius in A, estates, and RSF 1—6 zoning districts. These limits are congruent with the state standards for site selection of community residential homes. (See F.S. § 419.001 et seq.) However, the state statute provides that local governments may adopt more liberal standards for siting such homes.	2	See Sec. 5.05.04(B), (C). Spacing requirements for protected classes like persons with disabilities are generally inconsistent with the FHA, unless the jurisdiction could make a showing that the ordinance was passed to protect a compelling governmental interest (e.g. overconcentration of residential treatment homes could adversely affect individuals with disabilities and would be inconsistent with the goal of integrating persons with disabilities into the wider community) and that the spacing requirement is the least restrictive means of protecting that interest. Florida's and the County's spacing/dispersion requirements limit the overall aggregate capacity of housing for persons with disabilities even if the need in the community or region is greater than the thresholds permit. It is recommended that the code be amended to provide for a means of

	country, Frontau		1
			rebutting the code's presumption of overconcentration by showing the significant need for more housing for persons with disabilities.
8. Does the jurisdiction restrict any inherently residential uses protected by fair housing laws (such as residential substance abuse treatment facilities) only to non-residential zones?	No. For example, a residential facility for persons recovering from substance abuse is specifically included in the definition and description of a "family care facility" (housing for six or fewer residents) and "group care facility" (housing for 7-14 residents). Subject to certain conditions, family care facilities are permitted by right uses in single family residential zones and group care facilities are conditional uses in the single family and multi-family districts.	1	
9. Does the jurisdiction's zoning and land use rules constitute exclusionary zoning that precludes development of affordable or low-income housing by imposing unreasonable residential design regulations (such as high minimum lot sizes, wide street frontages, large setbacks, low FARs, large minimum building square footage, and/or low maximum building heights)?	In the residential single family zoning districts (RSF's), minimum lot sizes range from 1 acre to 6,000 square feet. Minimum floor areas range from 1,800 sq. ft. to 600 sq. ft. In the multifamily, residential tourist, and village residential districts, where multifamily housing is permitted by right, maximum building heights range from 2-10 stories, and minimum floor areas	1	See Sec. 2.05.01 (density standards); Sec. 4.02.01 (dimensional standards). The ordinance also includes some flexibility in density and development by providing alternatives such as cluster developments, density blending, and transfer of development rights in certain locations and under certain conditions.

	county, morrad		
	range from 750 to none. The code's design standards, density allowances, and housing-type diversity, should not unreasonably affect the feasibility of developing affordable and low- income housing		
	throughout the		
	residential districts.		
10a. Does the zoning ordinance fail to provide residential districts where multifamily housing is permitted as of right?	The zoning ordinance provides three types of multifamily housing districts (RMF), as well	1	See Sec. 2.05.01 (density standards); 4.02.00 (site design standards).
10b. Do multi-family districts restrict development only to low-density housing types?	as the residential tourist district (RT), village residential district (VR), and special mixed-use districts, where multifamily housing is permitted by right. The districts are designed to accommodate low-density, low-profile developments in the RMF-6 district and medium to high density in the RMF-16 district, and up to 26 units per acre in some RT zones.	1	
on the construction, rental, or occupancy of alternative types of affordable or low-income housing (for example, accessory dwellings or mobile/manufactured homes)?	The LDC provides for a Mobile Home zoning district where mobile and modular homes are permitted by right and also the Mobile Home Overlay which may apply to rural agricultural areas where a mixture of housing types is found to be appropriate.	1	See Sec. 1.08.02; 2.03.02(G); 5.03.03.

	County, Florida		
	Accessory dwellings / guesthouses etc. may be used as housing for domestic employees, but may not be leased or rented, which limits the usefulness of this potential type of alternative affordable housing.		
12a. Is the process by which a use permit (CUP, SUP, SLUP) is obtained unreasonably lengthy, complex, or costly, effectively discouraging applicants?	The LDC sets out a process for requesting a conditional use permit or variance of typical length, complexity, and cost to other municipalities.	1	See Sec. 10.08.00 (conditional use procedures); 10.09.00 (variance procedures); Administrative Code for Land Development.
12b. Is there a clear procedure by which denials may be appealed?	Yes, review of decisions of boards of zoning appeals is exclusively by the circuit court of Collier County.		Code of Ordinances, Sec. 250-60.
13. Does the zoning ordinance include an inclusionary zoning provision?	Yes, the ordinance includes an Affordable Housing Density Bonus (AHDB) program, which applies to most coastal urban designated areas, the Immokalee Urban area, the Rural Lands Stewardship Area Overlay, and allows for up to eight additional dwelling units per gross acre to the allowed base density in those zones.	1	See Sec. 2.06.00 et seq. Importantly, the AHDB program includes a strategy for maintaining designated affordable housing units as affordable for at least 15 years.

- Conner	County, Florida		
14. Does the zoning ordinance or	Yes, the County has	1	See Code of Ordinances
municipal code include a discussion of fair	adopted a "Fair Housing		Sec. 70-26 et seq.
housing?	Code of Unincorporated		_
	Collier County," which		
	codifies its commitment		
	to fair housing and		
	antidiscrimination.		
15a. Do the jurisdiction's codes presently	Collier County has	1	See Code of Ordinances,
,	-	1	Sec. 22-26;
make specific reference to the	adopted by reference		Sec. 22-20;
accessibility requirements contained in	the Florida Building		
the 1988 amendment to the Fair Housing	Code, 5th ed. (2014),		
Act?	with amendments. The		
	FBC is based on		
	the 2012 International		
	Building Code (IBC),		
	and includes a number		
	of updates from both		
	the International Code		
	Council and the Florida		
	Building Commission.		
	While the 2012 IBC		
	edition is not one of the		
	ten HUD-recognized		
	safe harbors for		
	compliance with the		
	FHA's design and		
	construction		
	requirements, it is		
	substantially similar to		
	the 2006 IBC which		
	HUD has recognized as a safe harbor for		
	meeting the FHA's		
	accessibility		
	requirements. In		
	addition, Chapter 11 of		
	the 2012 IBC requires		
	that buildings and		
	facilities comply with		
	the accessibility		
	requirements of		
	ICC/ANSI A117.1		
	Accessible and Usable		
	Buildings and Facilities		
	standard, which is a		

15b. Are the jurisdiction's accessibility standards (as contained in the zoning ordinance or building code) congruent with the requirements of the Fair Housing Act?	nationally recognized standard for making buildings accessible.  In addition, the new FBC also includes the Florida Accessibility Code, which makes specific reference to the FHA's accessibility requirements and is congruent with the federal guidelines.	
15c. Is there any provision for monitoring compliance?	The authority and responsibility for implementation of the provisions of the LDC are assigned to the County Manager. The County Code Enforcement Division may monitor and enforce compliance with the building code. And the Building Board of Adjustment and Appeals (BBAA) hears appeals on rejections or refusals by the Building Code Compliance Director.	See LDC Sec. 1.03.04; 1.07.00; 8.08.00; Code of Ordinances Sec. 2- 1181.

Average Total Risk Score: 1.4

#### Key to Risk Scores:

1 = low risk - the provision poses little risk for discrimination or limitation of fair housing choice

2 = medium risk – the provision is neither among the most permissive nor most restrictive; while it could complicate fair housing choice, its effect is not likely to be widespread

3 = high risk – the provision causes or has potential to result in systematic and widespread housing discrimination or the limitation of fair housing choice

#### **Source Documents:**

City of Naples Land Development Code, *available at* https://www.municode.com/library/fl/naples/codes Updated through October 6, 2015.

Building Code, *available at* https://www.municode.com/library/fl/naples/codes and http://codes.iccsafe.org/Florida.html#2014

State Statutes, available at http://www.leg.state.fl.us/statutes

Issue	Conclusion	Risk Score	Comments
1. Does the jurisdiction's definition of "family" have the effect of preventing unrelated individuals from sharing the same residence? Is the definition unreasonably restrictive?	The Land Development Code (LDC) defines family to mean an individual or 2 or more persons related by blood, marriage, law or legal adoption, or not more than 4 persons not so related living together as a single housekeeping unit in a dwelling unit. The definition is neither the most permissive nor most restrictive, but does have the potential to discriminate against a nontraditional family of unrelated persons who in all respects are the functional equivalent of a single family.	2	See LDC, Sec. 44-8. The most permissive definition would not limit the number of unrelated persons who may reside together as a single housekeeping unit just as the definition does not limit the number of related persons who may reside together.

2. Does the definition of family discriminate against unrelated individuals with disabilities (or members of any other protected class) who reside together in a congregate or group living arrangement?  3a. Does the zoning code treat housing for individuals with disabilities differently from other single family residential and multifamily residential uses by requiring a special or conditional use permit in certain residential districts? Is housing for individuals with disabilities allowed in the same manner as other housing in residential districts?  3b. Is such housing mischaracterized as a "boarding or rooming house" or "hotel"?	No, the definition of family does not make exception for or treat differently persons with disabilities because of their disability.  In most respects, the LDC treats homes for persons with disabilities with six or fewer residents, who otherwise meet the definition of a "community residential home," the same as any other single-family dwelling for purposes of determining applicable development standards. (But see Issue No. 7 below.) Such homes are permitted by right wherever other single	1	See Sec. 56-87.  "Community residential home means a dwelling unit licensed to serve clients of the state department of children and family services, which provides a living environment for 7 to 14 unrelated residents who operate as the functional equivalent of a family, including such supervision and care by supportive staff as may be necessary to meet the physical,
	but must notify the city manager upon occupancy showing that the home is licensed by the state.		needs of the residents." "Resident" means, as defined in the Florida Statutes, a frail elder, a person with a physical disability, a person with a developmental disability, a nondangerous mentally ill person, or a child.
4. Does the zoning ordinance unreasonably restrict housing opportunities for individuals with disabilities who require onsite supportive services?	Community residential homes for 7-14 residents who operate as the functional equivalent of a family and require onsite supportive care must be granted approval by	2	See Sec. 56-87. A community residential home of 7- 14 residents is a conditional use in the HC (highway commercial) and C2 districts.

	the city manager before		
	siting in a multifamily		
	zoning district. There is		
	a presumption in the		
	code that a CRH located		
	within 1,200 feet of an		
	existing CRH, or located		
	within 500 feet of a		
	single family district,		
	creates an		
	overconcentration of such facilities.		
	However, because the		
	city's definition of		
	family limits the		
	number of unrelated		
	persons who may		
	reside together to 4, the		
	code is in this way more		
	permissive when it		
	comes to housing for		
	persons with		
	disabilities.		
5. Do the jurisdiction's policies,	Naples has not adopted	2	See Sec. 46.34; 46.37.
regulations, and/or zoning ordinances	a clear and objective		The code provides a
allow persons with disabilities to make	process by which		process for requesting
reasonable modifications or provide	persons with		a variance or
reasonable accommodation to specific	disabilities may request		conditional use permit,
zoning or regulatory requirements?	a reasonable		however, the purpose
	accommodation to		of a variance or CUP is
	zoning, land use, and		not congruent with the
	other regulatory		purpose of requesting a
	requirements.		reasonable
			accommodation, as a
			variance requires a
			showing of special
			circumstances or
			conditions applying to
			the land, building, or
			use that are preexisting
			and not owing to the
			applicant. In contrast, a
			reasonable
			accommodation is to
			allow individuals with

			1
Co. Do og the inviediation as a little	No Community	1	disabilities to have equal access to use and enjoy housing. The jurisdiction does not comply with its duty to provide reasonable accommodation if it applies a standard based on the physical characteristics of the property rather than considering the need for modification based on the disabilities of the residents of the housing. It is recommended that the Town adopt a "Reasonable Accommodation Ordinance."
6a. Does the jurisdiction require a public hearing to obtain public input for specific	No. Community residential homes (7-14	1	But see response to Issue No. 5 above in
exceptions to zoning and land-use rules	persons) require city		that there is no codified
for applicants with disabilities?	manager approval to		process for persons
	site in a multifamily		with disabilities to
6b. Is the hearing only for applicants with	residential zone, but		request
disabilities rather than for all applicants?	not the public hearing		accommodation or
	process.		modification from
			zoning and land-use rules.
7. Does the ordinance impose spacing or	Yes, community	2	See Sec. 56-87.
dispersion requirements on certain	residential homes of six	_	Spacing requirements
protected housing types?	or fewer residents may		for protected classes
	not be located within a		like persons with
	radius of 1,000 feet of		disabilities are
	another existing		generally inconsistent
	community residential home, and those with 7-		with the FHA, unless the jurisdiction could
	14 residents may not be		make a showing that
	located within a radius		the ordinance was
	of 1,200 feet of another		passed to protect a
	existing home or within		compelling
	500 feet of a single		governmental interest
	family district. These		(e.g. over-

spacing requirements are congruent with the state standards for site selection of community residential homes. (See F.S. § 419.001 et seq.) However, the state statute provides that local governments may adopt more liberal standards for siting such homes.

concentration of residential treatment homes could adversely affect individuals with disabilities and would be inconsistent with the goal of integrating persons with disabilities into the wider community) and that the spacing requirement is the least restrictive means of protecting that interest. Florida's and the City's spacing/dispersion requirements limit the overall aggregate capacity of housing for persons with disabilities even if the need in the community or region is greater than the thresholds permit. It is recommended that the code be amended to provide for a means of rebutting the code's presumption of overconcentration by showing the significant need for more housing for persons with disabilities.

	Comer County, Florid		
8. Does the jurisdiction restrict any inherently residential uses protected by fair housing laws (such as residential substance abuse treatment facilities) only to non-residential zones?	The LDC does not expressly provide for the siting of residential treatment facilities, and presumably as long as the residential facility otherwise meets the definition of a community residential home it should be treated in like manner.	1	Persons recovering from drug and/or alcohol dependence (not current users) are considered handicapped under federal law, and therefore are part of a protected class. Under federal law (e.g. FHA, ADA, Rehabilitation Act), it is discriminatory to deny an individual or entity the right to site a treatment program in a residential zone because it will serve individuals with alcohol or other drug problems.
9. Does the jurisdiction's zoning and land use rules constitute exclusionary zoning that precludes development of affordable or low-income housing by imposing unreasonable residential design regulations (such as high minimum lot sizes, wide street frontages, large setbacks, low FARs, large minimum building square footage, and/or low maximum building heights)?	The code divides single-family residential into 15 districts plus a PD (planned unit development) district. Multifamily, which is typically more affordable, is permitted in 8 of these districts plus the PD district. Minimum lot sizes for single family dwellings range from 2 ¼ acres to 6,000 sq. ft. as the lowest. Minimum floor areas for single family dwellings range from a high of 2,000 sq. ft. to a low of 1,000 sq. ft. for a one story dwelling. Multifamily housing may be allowed at a minimum floor area of 600 sq. ft. for 3+ family	2	See Sec. 58-51 et seq. – 58-471 et seq.; Sec. 56- 35; Sec. 56-40 (maximum lot coverage). Note: Just looking at the zoning code in isolation, zoning restrictions in Naples may impede the development of higher- density housing, and thus impact the feasibility of developing affordable housing. But other considerations like housing prices and rents, availability of land, market conditions, existing land-use patterns, the provision of public services and

	comer country, morn		
	dwellings. These minimum lot size requirements may limit density to low and moderate density. The code's development standards are not as permissive as the County's for many areas, and may impact the feasibility of developing affordable housing throughout the residential districts.		infrastructure, and other planning goals also have an impact on the quantity of affordable housing.
10a. Does the zoning ordinance fail to provide residential districts where multifamily housing is permitted as of right?  10b. Do multi-family districts restrict development only to low-density housing types?	Multifamily housing is permitted by right in 8 of the residential districts, plus the PD district. The code divides density into low density in the R3-6 district with up to 6 u/a, medium density of 12 – 15 u/a in 5 multifamily districts, and high density of up to 18 u/a in two of the multifamily districts.	1	The Zoning Map was not reviewed to determine the scale of the residential areas actually allowing multifamily housing at these densities. Therefore, Issue No. 10 does not determine whether the zoning ordinance's density limitations actually allow for the development of enough affordable housing within the jurisdiction to meet demand.
11. Are unreasonable restrictions placed on the construction, rental, or occupancy of alternative types of affordable or low-income housing (for example, accessory dwellings or mobile/manufactured homes)?	Accessory dwelling units, defined as a "guest unit" under the code, may be rented or leased in conformance with Sec. 56-91 in the RE and R1-E districts, and upon conditional use approval in the R1-15A, R1-15, R1-10, R1-10A districts. The code also contemplates the establishment of mobile home parks and some have been approved	1	See Sec. 56-91 (guest units); 56-42 (mobile homes).

	within the jurisdiction.		
12a Ia tha program by which a use permit	The LDC sets out a	1	San San 46 22 (site
12a. Is the process by which a use permit (CUP, SUP, SLUP) is obtained	process for requesting a	1	See Sec. 46-33 (site plan review for
unreasonably lengthy, complex, or costly,	conditional use permit		multifamily); 46-34
effectively discouraging applicants?	or variance of typical		(conditional use
enectively discouraging applicants:	length, complexity, and		review); 46-37
	cost to other		(variances).
	municipalities. For		(variances).
	example, conditional		
	uses may be permitted		
	by resolution of the city		
	council after an		
	application has been		
	submitted to the		
	planning advisory		
	board and duly		
	authorized public		
	hearing has been held.		
	meaning has seen hera.		
12b. Is there a clear procedure by which	Final land use and		Sec. 2-84 Appeals of
denials may be appealed?	zoning decisions by the		administrative
J. S. P. P. S. S. P. P. S. S. S. P. P. S. S. S. P. P. S.	local city council are		decisions of city
	appealable to the		manager relative to
	circuit court in most		land development code
	circumstances as		•
	described in the Florida		
	Statutes. However, the		
	LDC lacks clarity in		
	describing the		
	administrative and		
	judicial appeals process		
	and the time limitations		
	for filing appeals, and		
	could be improved by		
	clearly outlining the		
	rights and duties of		
	applicants and other		
	property owners as it		
	pertains to seeking		
	appeals of land use		
	decisions.		

	Comer County, Florid		
13. Does the zoning ordinance include an	Yes, the LDC includes	1	See Sec. 56-82.
inclusionary zoning provision?	an affordable housing		The City's policy could
	ordinance with		go further to provide
	standards to promote,		incentives for
	encourage and provide		developing owner-
	incentives for the		occupied affordable
	development of		housing and include a
	affordable housing.		strategy for
	These standards are		maintaining designated
	less restrictive than		owner-occupied
	other density standards		affordable housing
	and more restrictive		units as affordable
	with open space and		long-term.
	landscaping. However,		- 8
	the standards apply to		
	rental units only.		
14. Does the zoning ordinance or	No, the jurisdiction has	2	
municipal code include a discussion of fair	not codified a		
housing?	commitment to fair		
nousing:	housing or an anti-		
	discrimination		
	ordinance.		
15a. Do the jurisdiction's codes presently	Naples has adopted by	1	See Code of Ordinances
make specific reference to the	reference the Florida	1	Sec. 1-112 et seq.
•			sec. 1-112 et seq.
accessibility requirements contained in	Building Code, 5th ed.		
the 1988 amendment to the Fair Housing Act?	(2014), with amendments. The FBC		
Act:	is based on the 2012		
	International Building		
	Code (IBC), and		
	includes a number of		
	updates from both the		
	International Code		
	Council and the Florida		
	Building Commission.		
	While the 2012 IBC		
	edition is not one of the		
	ten HUD-recognized		
	safe harbors for		
	compliance with the		
	FHA's design and		
	construction		
	requirements, it is		
	substantially similar to		
	the 2006 IBC which		

	IIID 1 1 1	
	HUD has recognized as a safe harbor for meeting the FHA's accessibility requirements. In addition, Chapter 11 of the 2012 IBC requires that buildings and facilities comply with the accessibility	
	requirements of ICC/ANSI A117.1 Accessible and Usable Buildings and Facilities standard, which is a nationally recognized standard for making buildings accessible.	
15b. Are the jurisdiction's accessibility standards (as contained in the zoning ordinance or building code) congruent with the requirements of the Fair Housing Act?	In addition, the new FBC also includes the Florida Accessibility Code, which makes specific reference to the FHA's accessibility requirements and is congruent with the federal guidelines.	
15c. Is there any provision for monitoring compliance?	Yes, the city manager may authorize a code enforcement officer to monitor and enforce compliance with the building code and the city has established a code enforcement board	See Sec. 2-911 et seq. (code enforcement); Sec. 46-40 (the city manager administers, interprets, and enforces the zoning ordinance).